Mid Sussex District Council Audit Results Report

Year ended 31 March 2021 February 2022





18 February 2022



Dear Audit Committee Members

We are pleased to attach our audit results report, summarising the status of our audit for the forthcoming meeting of the Audit Committee.

The audit is designed to express an opinion on the 20/21 financial statements and address current statutory and regulatory requirements. This report contains our findings related to the areas of audit emphasis, our views on Mid Sussex District Council's accounting policies and judgements and material internal control findings. Each year sees further enhancements to the level of audit challenge and the quality of evidence required to achieve the robust professional scepticism that society expects. We thank the management team for supporting this process.

As detailed in the report we have completed a substantial amount of the audit. However, as we reported to the Audit Committee in November 2021 we have received an objection to the 2020/21 accounts from a local elector. We are currently in the process of 'Considering and Deciding' on the matters raised in the objection, a process which can take a significant amount of time and resource.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Audit Committee, other members of the Council and senior management. It is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

We welcome the opportunity to discuss the contents of this report with you at the Audit Committee meeting on 1 March 2022


Kevin Suter Associate Partner For and on behalf of Ernst & Young LLP Encl

Contents



Public Sector Audit Appointments Ltd (PSAA) issued the "Statement of responsibilities of auditors and audited bodies". It is available from the PSAA website (<u>https://www.psaa.co.uk/audit-guality/statement-of-responsibilities/</u>). The Statement of responsibilities serves as the formal terms of engagement between appointed auditors and audited bodies. It summarises where the different responsibilities of auditors and audited bodies begin and end, and what is to be expected of the audited body in certain areas. The "Terms of Appointment and further guidance (updated April 2018)" issued by the PSAA sets out additional requirements that auditors must comply with, over and above those set out in the National Audit Office Code of Audit Practice (the Code) and in legislation, and covers matters of practice and procedure which are of a recurring nature.

This report is made solely to the Audit Committee and management of Mid Sussex District Council in accordance with the statement of responsibilities. Our work has been undertaken so that we might state to the Audit Committee, and management of Mid Sussex District Council those matters we are required to state to them in this report and for no other purpose. To the **fullest** extent permitted by law we do not accept or assume responsibility to anyone other than the Audit Committee and management of Mid Sussex District Council for this report or for the opinions we have formed. It should not be provided to any third-party without our prior written consent.





Scope update

In our audit planning report presented at the 27 July 2021 Audit Committee meeting, we provided you with an overview of our audit scope and approach for the audit of the financial statements. Below we have highlighted the changes to that scope:

Changes in materiality

We updated our planning materiality assessment using the draft results and have also reconsidered our risk assessment. Based on our materiality measure of gross expenditure on provision of services, we have updated our overall materiality assessment to ± 1.64 m (Audit Planning Report – ± 1.59 m). This results in updated performance materiality, at 75% of overall materiality, of £1.22m, and an updated threshold for reporting uncorrected misstatements of £81,321.

We also identified areas where misstatement at a lower level than our overall materiality level might influence the reader and developed an audit strategy specific to these areas, including:

• Remuneration disclosures including any severance payments, exit packages and termination benefits. We audit these fully given their inherent sensitive nature.

• Related party transactions. We consider any related parties in terms of the underlying relationship and potential influence, and not simply the overall values disclosed.

Status of the audit

We have substantially completed our audit of Mid Sussex District Council's financial statements for the year ended 31 March 2021 and have performed the procedures outlined in our Audit planning report. However we are yet to conclude on the following areas:

- We received an Objection to 2020/21 accounts. Work remains ongoing to conclude on this area; ►
- Final engagement partner review of the file; ►
- Completion of subsequent events review; ►
- Receipt of the response from Those Charged with Governance; and
- Receipt of the signed management representation letter. ►

Audit differences

We identified a material difference on the investment property valuations which management have corrected.

Full details are available in section 4.



Auditor responsibilities under the new Code of Audit Practice 2020

Under the Code of Audit Practice 2020 we are still required to consider whether the Council has put in place 'proper arrangements' to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness on its use of resources. The 2020 Code requires the auditor to design their work to provide them with sufficient assurance to enable them to report to the Council a commentary against specified reporting criteria (see below) on the arrangements the Council has in place to secure value for money through economic, efficient and effective use of its resources for the relevant period.

The specified reporting criteria are:

- Financial sustainability How the Council plans and manages its resources to ensure it can continue to deliver its services;
- Governance How the Council ensures that it makes informed decisions and properly manages its risks; and
- Improving economy, efficiency and effectiveness: How the Council uses information about its costs and performance to improve the way it manages and delivers its services.

Status of the audit - Value for Money

As we reported in our Audit Plan in July, we have not identified a VFM risk during our planning phase of the audit. At the time of writing we have also not identified a VfM risk through the course of our year end procedures.

However, as the audit remains ongoing we will continue to update our assessment. We will also consider the outcome of the Objection as part of our VfM assessment.



Other reporting issues

We have reviewed the information presented in the Annual Governance Statement for consistency with our knowledge of the Council. We have no matters to report as a result of this work.

We have not yet been able to perform the procedures required by the National Audit Office (NAO) on the Whole of Government Accounts submission. This is because HM Treasury are continuing to review the online 2020/21 WGA Data Collection Tool (DCT) and update the guidance that is available for preparers.

Areas of audit focus

Our Audit Planning Report identified key areas of focus for our audit of the Council's financial statements This report sets out our observations and conclusions, including our views on areas which might be conservative, and where there is potential risk and exposure. We summarise our consideration of these matters, and any others identified, in the "Key Audit Issues" section of this report.

We ask you to review these and any other matters in this report to ensure:

- There are no other considerations or matters that could have an impact on these issues
- You agree with the resolution of the issue
- There are no other significant issues to be considered.

There are no matters, apart from those reported by management or disclosed in this report, which we believe should be brought to the attention of the Audit Committee.

Control observations


We have identified a deficiency in the design of internal controls in relation to property valuation. Please see section 6 for full details.

Independence

We have no issues to report.

Please refer to Section 7 for our update on Independence.

O2 Areas of Audit Focus



Significant risk

Misstatements due to fraud or error

What is the risk?

The financial statements as a whole are not free of material misstatements whether caused by fraud or error.

As identified in ISA (UK and Ireland) 240, management is in a unique position to perpetrate fraud because of its ability to manipulate accounting records directly or indirectly and prepare fraudulent financial statements by overriding controls that otherwise appear to be operating effectively. We identify and respond to this fraud risk on every audit engagement.

What judgements are we focused on?

As part of our work we focused the key judgemental areas of financial statements, such as accounting policies, the model applied to the minimum revenue provision and unusual transactions.

We reviewed accounting estimates for evidence of management bias, and specifically focused on the following:

- IAS 19 disclosures;
- NDR appeals provision; and
- Valuation of land and buildings in Property, Plant and Equipment and Investment Properties.

What are our conclusions?

Our audit work found no evidence that management had attempted to override internal controls.

We have not identified any instances of inappropriate judgements being applied.

We did not identify any other transactions during our audit which appeared unusual or outside the Authority's normal course of business.

This conclusion is based on detailed testing of accounts entries susceptible to potential manipulation

What did we do?

We have performed the procedures described in our original audit plan. Please see the following page for full details.



Significant risk



Further details on procedures/work performed

We identified the key fraud risks at the planning stage of the audit and considered the effectiveness of management's controls that are designed to address the risk of fraud. We updated our understanding of the risks of fraud and the controls put in place to address them and made enquiries of Internal Audit, management and those charged with governance to support our understanding.

We have:

- Inquired of management about risks of fraud and the controls put in place to address those risks.
- Understood the oversight given by those charged with governance of management's processes over fraud.
- Considered of the effectiveness of management's controls designed to address the risk of fraud.

Performed mandatory procedures regardless of specifically identified fraud risks, including:

- Reviewed the appropriateness of journal entries recorded in the general ledger, and other adjustments made in the preparation of the financial statements.
- Reviewed, discussed with management and challenged any accounting estimates on revenue or expenditure recognition for evidence of bias, specifically:
 - ► IAS 19 disclosures;
 - ► NDR appeals provision; and
 - Valuation of land and buildings in Property, Plant and Equipment and Investment Properties.

We found that the valuation method for each of the above has not changed from prior years.

- Reviewed the transactions in the financial statements for evidence of any significant unusual transactions.
- In addition to our overall response, we considered where these risks may present themselves and identified a separate fraud risk related to the capitalisation of
 revenue expenditure as set out on the next slide.

Significant risk

Risk of fraud in revenue recognition - inappropriate capitalisation of revenue expenditure

What is the risk?

Under ISA 240 there is a presumed risk that revenue may be misstated due to improper revenue recognition. In the public sector, this requirement is modified by Practice Note 10 issued by the Financial Reporting Council, which states that auditors should also consider the risk that material misstatements may occur by the manipulation of expenditure recognition.

From our risk assessment, we have assessed that the risk manifests itself solely through the inappropriate capitalisation of revenue expenditure to improve the financial position of the general fund.

Capitalised revenue expenditure can be funded through borrowing with only minimal MRP charges recorded in the general fund, deferring the expenditure for 30+ years when the borrowing is repaid

What did we do?

Our approach will focus on:

- ► For significant additions we examined invoices, capital expenditure authorisations, leases and other data that support the additions. We reviewed the sample selected against the definition of capital expenditure in IAS 16.
- ► We extended our testing of items capitalised in the year by lowering our testing threshold. We will also review a larger random sample of capital additions below our testing threshold.
- ► Journal testing we used our testing of Journals to identify high risk transactions, such as items originally recorded as revenue expenditure and subsequently capitalised.

What are our conclusions?

We are satisfied that capital additions made in the year met the requirements of IAS 16, and had been correctly capitalised



Significant risk

Risk of fraud in revenue recognition - sales, fees and charges income grant

What is the risk?

As one of the responses to the Covid-19 pandemic and its impact on Local Authority finances, the Government introduced a reimbursement scheme for lost fees and charges income. After an initial 5% reduction for annual variability, local authorities are funded for 75% of their claimed losses.

There is both incentive and opportunity for local authorities to inflate the returns to Central Government, and claim for funds that they are not entitled to under the scheme. There is also the potential for error.

The Council received £2.2m, and therefore, there is the potential this could be materially overstated.

What did we do?

Our approach focused on:

- ► Gain an understanding of the Council's process for completing the fees and charges reimbursement grants returns to Central Government
- Assess whether those returns appropriately follow the guidance
- •Review whether the values included can be supported by relevant and appropriate evidence

What are our conclusions?

We are satisfied that the grant return was not materially misstated.



Significant risk

Valuation of investment property

What is the risk?

The Council holds a significant investment property portfolio. The valuation of property is complex and subject to several assumptions and judgements. A small movement in these assumptions can have a material impact on the financial statements.

Difficulties in the retails sector in particular have led to many retailers, including well-known names, closing stores, going into administration, or otherwise looking to reduce their rental costs by renegotiating existing leases.

These difficulties have had a direct impact on the value of the retail units (high street shops, out of town retail parks and shopping centres) leased to retailers.

What did we do?

We have:

- Considered the work performed by the Council's valuer, this included a review of the adequacy of the scope of the work performed, their professional capabilities and the results of their work;
- Sample tested key asset information used by the valuer in performing their valuation (e.g. floor plans to support valuations based on price per square metre);
- instructed our own Property valuation team (EY Real Estates) to review a sample of property valuations performed by the Councils Valuer
- Consider the annual cycle of valuations to ensure that investment properties are being revalued every year.
- Tested accounting entries have been correctly processed in the financial statements; and
- We also noted that the Council valuer attached a 'material uncertainty' clause to their valuation as a result of Covid. We reviewed the adequacy of the disclosure of this in the Council's accounts.

What are our conclusions?

We instructed our property valuation team to review a sample of the valuation performed by the Council. The review focuses on whether the valuation is based on reasonable and supportable assumptions.

From this review we identified three asset values were misstated. The key areas our valuation team identified differences on were;

- Where the current tenant has a short period remaining on their tenancy, the valuation should allow for a void and incentive period at the end of the current lease
- Purchase costs should be deducted on the valuation due to the UK market reporting yields on a net basis
- The valuer was unable to support their assumption on market rent
- One asset was valued on the incorrect basis

Following the correction of these misstatements, we conclude that the balance is fairly stated and do not modify our audit opinion in respect of this matter.



other risk

Valuation of property, plant & equipment

What is the risk?

The fair value of Property, Plant and Equipment (PPE) represent significant balances in the Council's accounts and is subject to valuation changes and impairment reviews.

Management is required to make material judgements about key assumptions and apply estimation techniques to calculate the year-end balances recorded in the balance sheet.

What did we do?

We have:

- Considered the work performed by the Council's valuer, this included a review of the adequacy of the scope of the work performed, their professional capabilities and the results of their work;
- Sample tested key asset information used by the valuer in performing their valuation (e.g. floor plans to support valuations based on price per square metre);
- Consider the annual cycle of valuations to ensure that assets have been valued within a 5 year rolling programme as required by the Code for PPE.
- Reviewed assets not subject to valuation in 2019/20 to confirm that the remaining asset base is not materially misstated;
- Considered changes to useful economic lives as a result of the most recent valuation;
- Tested accounting entries have been correctly processed in the financial statements; and
- We also noted that the Council valuer attached a 'material uncertainty' clause to their valuation as a result of Covid. We reviewed the adequacy of the disclosure of this in the Council's accounts.

What are our conclusions?

We are satisfied that the valuation of property, plant and equipment is fairly stated and appropriately disclosed.

Other risk

Net pension liability
valuationWhat is the risk?The Local Authority Accounting Code of Practice and IAS19 require the Council to make extensive disclosures within
its financial statements regarding its membership of the Local Government Pension Scheme administered by West
Sussex County Council.
The Council's pension fund net liability is a material estimated balance and the Code requires that this liability be
disclosed on the Council's balance sheet. At 31 March 2021 this totalled £21,247k.
The information disclosed is based on the IAS 19 report issued to the Council by the actuary to the Countil.
Accounting for this scheme involves significant estimation and judgement and therefore management engages an
actuary to undertake the calculations on their behalf. ISAs (UK and Ireland) 500 and 540 require us to undertake
procedures on the use of management experts and the assumptions underlying fair value estimates.

What did we do?

We have:

- Liaised with the auditors of West Sussex Pension Fund to obtain assurances over the information supplied to the actuary in relation to West Sussex County Council.
- Assess the work of the Pension Fund actuary (Hymans Robertson) including the assumptions they have used by relying on the work of PWC - Consulting Actuaries commissioned by Public Sector Auditor Appointments for all Local Government sector auditors, and considering any relevant reviews by the EY actuarial team; and
- Review and test the accounting entries and disclosures made within the Council's financial statements in relation to IAS19;
- In accordance with the new ISA540 (revised) standard, we tested the actuarial model to confirm it is appropriately designed, consistently applied and mathematically accurate. We involved our EY Pensions specialists to perform this work.

What are our conclusions?

We identified that the pension asset value at 31 March 2021 used by the actuary in their report was overstated by £293k.

As the difference is not material, we concluded that the net pension liability was fairly stated.



Other risk

Accounting for Covid business grants

What is the risk?

Central Government have provided a number of new and different Covid-19 related grants to local authorities during the year. There are also funds that have been provided for the Council to disseminate to other bodies. Whilst there is no change in the CIPFA Code or accounting standard (IFRS 15) in respect of accounting for grant funding, the emergency nature of some of the grants received and in some cases the lack of clarity on any associated restrictions and conditions, means that the Council will need to apply a greater degree of assessment and judgement to determine the appropriate accounting treatment in the 2020/21 statements. The Council needs to assess whether it is acting as a principal or agent, with the accounting to follow that decision. For those where the decision is a principal, it also needs to assess whether there are any initial conditions that may also affect the recognition of the grants as revenue during 2020/21.

What did we do?

We have:

- Reviewed the Council's decision for new grant or funding arrangements whether it is acting as ► principal or agent;
- Reviewed whether any initial conditions are attached to grants impacting their recognition; ►
- Assessed whether the accounting appropriately follows those judgements; and ►
- Checked the Council has adequately disclosed grant income received in the year, under both ► principal and agent arrangements.

What are our conclusions?

We are satisfied that officers have appropriately accounted for and disclosed Covid Business grants received in year.

Other risk



What is the risk?

The standard is effective for audits of financial statements for periods commencing on or after 15 December 2019. This auditing standard has been revised in response to enforcement cases and well-publicised corporate failures where the auditor's report failed to highlight concerns about the prospects of entities which collapsed shortly after.

CIPFA's Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2020/21 states that an authority's financial statements shall be prepared on a going concern basis; the accounts should be prepared on the assumption that the functions of the authority will continue in operational existence for the foreseeable future and can only be discontinued under statutory prescription.

However, ISA 570, as applied by Practice Note 10: Audit of financial statements of public sector bodies in the United Kingdom, still requires auditors to undertake sufficient and appropriate audit procedures to consider whether there is a material uncertainty on going concern that requires reporting by management within the financial statements, and within the auditor's report.

The revised standard increases the work we are required to perform when assessing whether the Council is a going concern. It means UK auditors will follow significantly stronger requirements than those required by current international standards, and we have therefore judged it appropriate to bring this to the attention of the Audit Committee. To do this, the auditor must review management's assessment of the going concern basis applying IAS1 Presentation of Financial Statements.

What did we do?

We sought a documented and detailed consideration to support management's assertion regarding the going concern basis. Our audit procedures to review these included consideration of:

- Current and developing environment;
- Liquidity (operational and funding);
- Mitigating factors;
- Management information and forecasting; and
- Sensitivities and stress testing.

What are our conclusions?

We have reviewed managements Going Concern assessment and confirm their conclusion that the Council remains a Going Concern is based on reasonable and supportable assumptions.

We have also reviewed managements updated Going Concern Disclosure and confirmed it sufficiently detailed, transparent and accurately reflects managements underlying Going Concern assessment.

Depending on the timescales to complete the objection, we may require management to update their assessment to ensure it continues to cover a period of 12 months after the final approval of the accounts.





Draft audit report - example only

Note this is an illustrative draft of the audit report. We cannot conclude our opinion on the financial statements, Other Information or Matters to report by exception until the objection is concluded.

ur opinion on the financial statements

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT TO THE MEMBERS OF MID SUSSEX DISTRICT COUNCIL

Opinion

We have audited the financial statements of Mid Sussex District Council for the year ended 31 March 2021 under the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014. The financial statements comprise the:

- Movement in Reserves Statement,
- Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Statement,
- Balance Sheet,
- Cash Flow Statement,
- and the related notes 1 to 40.
- Collection Fund and the related notes 1 to 4

The financial reporting framework that has been applied in their preparation is applicable law and the CIPFA/LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2020/21.

In our opinion the financial statements:

- give a true and fair view of the financial position of Mid Sussex District Council as at 31 March 2021 and of its expenditure and income for the year then ended; and
- have been prepared properly in accordance with the CIPFA/LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2020/21.

Basis for opinion

We conducted our audit in accordance with International Standards on Auditing (UK) (ISAs (UK)) and applicable law. Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the Auditor's responsibilities for the audit of the financial statements section of our report below. We are independent of the Authority in accordance with the ethical requirements that are relevant to our audit of the financial statements in the UK, including the FRC's Ethical Standard and the Comptroller and Auditor General's (C&AG) AGN01, and we have fulfilled our other ethical responsibilities in accordance with these requirements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion.

Conclusions relating to going concern

In auditing the financial statements, we have concluded that Head of Corporate Resources' use of the going concern basis of accounting in the preparation of the financial statements is appropriate.

Based on the work we have performed, we have not identified any material uncertainties relating to events or conditions that, individually or collectively, may cast significant doubt on the authority's ability to continue as a going concern for a period 12 months from when the financial statements are authorised for issue.

Our responsibilities and the responsibilities of the Head of Corporate Resources with respect to going concern are described in the relevant sections of this report. However, because not all future events or conditions can be predicted, this statement is not a guarantee as to the authority's ability to continue as a going concern.

Other information

The other information comprises the information included in the Statement of Accounts for the Financial Year 2020/21, other than the financial statements and our auditor's report thereon. The Head of Corporate Resources is responsible for the other information.

Our opinion on the financial statements does not cover the other information and, except to the extent otherwise explicitly stated in this report, we do not express any form of assurance conclusion thereon.

Our responsibility is to read the other information and, in doing so, consider whether the other information is materially inconsistent with the financial statements or our knowledge obtained in the course of the audit or otherwise appears to be materially misstated. If we identify such material inconsistencies or apparent material misstatements, we are required to determine whether there is a material misstatement in the financial statements themselves. If, based on the work we have performed, we conclude that there is a material misstatement of the other information, we are required to report that fact.

Draft audit report - example only

Our opinion on the financial statements

We have nothing to report in this regard.

Matters on which we report by exception

We report to you if:

- in our opinion the annual governance statement is misleading or inconsistent with other information forthcoming from the audit or our knowledge of the Council;
- we issue a report in the public interest under section 24 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014;
- we make written recommendations to the audited body under Section 24 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014;
- we make an application to the court for a declaration that an item of account is contrary to law under Section 28 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014;
- we issue an advisory notice under Section 29 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014;
- we make an application for judicial review under Section 31 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014.
- we are not satisfied that the Authority has made proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources for the year ended 31 March 2021

We have nothing to report in these respects.

Responsibility of the Head of Corporate Resources

As explained more fully in the Statement of the Head of Corporate Resources Responsibilities set out on page 4, the Head of Corporate Resources is responsible for the preparation of the Statement of Accounts, which includes the financial statements, in accordance with proper practices as set out in the CIPFA/LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2020/21, and for being satisfied that they give a true and fair view and for such internal control as the directors determine is necessary to enable the preparation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error. In preparing the financial statements, the Head of Corporate Resources is responsible for assessing the Authority's ability to continue as a going concern, disclosing, as applicable, matters related to going concern and using the going concern basis of accounting unless the Authority either intends to cease operations, or have no realistic alternative but to do so.

The Authority is responsible for putting in place proper arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources, to ensure proper stewardship and governance, and to review regularly the adequacy and effectiveness of these arrangements.

Auditor's responsibilities for the audit of the financial statements

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor's report that includes our opinion. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance, but is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with ISAs (UK) will always detect a material misstatement when it exists. Misstatements can arise from fraud or error and are considered material if, individually or in the aggregate, they could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of these financial statements.

Irregularities, including fraud, are instances of non-compliance with laws and regulations. We design procedures in line with our responsibilities, outlined above, to detect irregularities, including fraud. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement due to fraud is higher than the risk of not detecting one resulting from error, as fraud may involve deliberate concealment by, for example, forgery or intentional misrepresentations, or through collusion.

The extent to which our procedures are capable of detecting irregularities, including fraud is detailed below. However, the primary responsibility for the prevention and detection of fraud rests with both those charged with governance of the entity and management

Draft audit report - example only

Our opinion on the financial statements

We obtained an understanding of the legal and regulatory frameworks that are applicable to the council and determined that the most significant are:

- Local Government Act 1972,
- Local Government Finance Act 1988 (as amended by the Local Government Finance Act 1992) ,
- Local Government Act 2003,
- The Local Authorities (Capital Finance and Accounting) (England) Regulations 2003 as amended in 2018 and 2020,
- Planning Act 2008 and the Community Infrastructure Levy Regulations 2010 (SI 2010/948)
- The Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014, and
- The Accounts and Audit Regulations 2015.

In addition, the Council has to comply with laws and regulations in the areas of antibribery and corruption, data protection, employment Legislation, tax Legislation, general power of competence, procurement and health & safety.

We understood how Mid Sussex District Council is complying with those frameworks by understanding the incentive, opportunities and motives for non-compliance, including inquiring of management, head of internal audit and those charged with governance and obtaining and reading documentation relating to the procedures in place to identify, evaluate and comply with laws and regulations, and whether they are aware of instances of non-compliance. We corroborated this through our reading of the Council's committee minutes, through enquiry of employees to confirm Council policies, and through the inspection of employee handbooks and other information. Based on this understanding we designed our audit procedures to identify noncompliance with such laws and regulations. Our procedures had a focus on compliance with the accounting framework through obtaining sufficient audit evidence in line with the level of risk identified and with relevant legislation We assessed the susceptibility of the Council's financial statements to material misstatement, including how fraud might occur by understanding the potential incentives and pressures for management to manipulate the financial statements, and performed procedures to understand the areas in which this would most likely arise. Based on our risk assessment procedures, we identified inappropriate capitalisation of revenue expenditure, Risk of fraud in revenue recognition - sales, fees and charges income grant and management override of controls to be our fraud risks.

To address our fraud risk of inappropriate capitalisation of revenue expenditure we tested the Council's capitalised expenditure to ensure the capitalisation criteria were properly met and the expenditure was genuine.

To address our fraud risk of fraud in revenue recognition - sales, fees and charges income grant we assessed whether the grant return appropriately followed the guidance, and whether the values included in the return were supported by relevant and appropriate evidence.

To address our fraud risk of management override of controls, we tested specific journal entries identified by applying risk criteria to the entire population of journals. For each journal selected, we tested specific transactions back to source documentation to confirm that the journals were authorised and accounted for appropriately.

A further description of our responsibilities for the audit of the financial statements is located on the Financial Reporting Council's website at https://www.frc.org.uk/auditorsresponsibilities. This description forms part of our auditor's report.

Draft audit report - example only

Our opinion on the financial statements

Scope of the review of arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in the use of resources

We have undertaken our review in accordance with the Code of Audit Practice, having regard to the guidance on the specified reporting criteria issued by the Comptroller and Auditor General (C&AG) in April 2021, as to whether Mid Sussex District Council had proper arrangements for financial sustainability, governance and improving economy, efficiency and effectiveness. The Comptroller and Auditor General determined these criteria as those necessary for us to consider under the Code of Audit Practice in satisfying ourselves whether Mid Sussex District Council put in place proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources for the year ended 31 March 2021

We planned our work in accordance with the Code of Audit Practice. Based on our risk assessment, we undertook such work as we considered necessary to form a view on whether, in all significant respects, the Mid Sussex District Council had put in place proper arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources.

We are required under Section 20(1)(c) of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 to satisfy ourselves that the Authority has made proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources.

We are not required to consider, nor have we considered, whether all aspects of the Authority's arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources are operating effectively.

Delay in certification of completion of the audit

We cannot formally conclude the audit and issue an audit certificate until we have completed the work necessary to issue our assurance statement in respect of the Authority's Whole of Government Accounts consolidation pack. We are satisfied that this work does not have a material effect on the financial statements or on our value for money conclusion.

Use of our report

This report is made solely to the members of Mid Sussex District Council, as a body, in accordance with Part 5 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 and for no other purpose, as set out in paragraph 43 of the Statement of Responsibilities of Auditors and Audited Bodies published by Public Sector Audit Appointments Limited. To the fullest extent permitted by law, we do not accept or assume responsibility to anyone other than the Authority and the Authority's members as a body, for our audit work, for this report, or for the opinions we have formed.



04 Audit Differences

58 2

Hong Kong





Audit Differences

In the normal course of any audit, we identify misstatements between amounts we believe should be recorded in the financial statements and the disclosures and amounts actually recorded. These differences are classified as "known" or "judgemental". Known differences represent items that can be accurately quantified and relate to a definite set of facts or circumstances. Judgemental differences generally involve estimation and relate to facts or circumstances that are uncertain or open to interpretation.

Summary of differences

Corrected Misstatements:


We highlight the following misstatements greater than £1.22m which have been corrected by management that were identified during the course of our audit.

We have identified the following judgemental errors in the valuation of investment property:

- Investment Properties - We identified three investments properties which were overstated by a net total of £1.4m

Uncorrected Misstatements:

We report to you any uncorrected misstatements greater than our nominal value of £81,321. We identified that the pension assets were understated by £293k.



05 Other reporting issues



Other reporting issues

Consistency of other information published with the financial statements, including the Annual Governance Statement

We must give an opinion on the consistency of the financial and non-financial information in the Statement of Accounts 2020/21 with the audited financial statements

We must also review the Annual Governance Statement for completeness of disclosures, consistency with other information from our work, and whether it complies with relevant guidance.

Financial information in the Statement of Accounts 2020/21 and published with the financial statements was consistent with the audited financial statements.

We have reviewed the Annual Governance Statement and can confirm it is consistent with other information from our audit of the financial statements and we have no other matters to report.

Whole of Government Accounts

Alongside our work on the financial statements, we also review and report to the National Audit Office on your Whole of Government Accounts return. The extent of our review, and the nature of our report, is specified by the National Audit Office.

We have not yet been able to perform the procedures required by the National Audit Office (NAO) on the Whole of Government Accounts submission. This is because HM Treasury are continuing to review the online 2020/21 WGA Data Collection Tool (DCT) and update the guidance that is available for preparers.

Other powers and duties

We have a duty under the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 to consider whether to report on any matter that comes to our attention in the course of the audit, either for the Council to consider it or to bring it to the attention of the public (i.e. "a report in the public interest"). At the time of writing, we have not identify any issues which required us to issue a report in the public interest.

We also have a duty to make written recommendations to the Council, copied to the Secretary of State, and take action in accordance with our responsibilities under the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014. At the time of writing, we have not identify any issues.

Other matters

We have no other matters to report.



06 Assessment of Control Environment



Service Assessment of Control Environment

Financial controls

It is the responsibility of the Council to develop and implement systems of internal financial control and to put in place proper arrangements to monitor their adequacy and effectiveness in practice. Our responsibility as your auditor is to consider whether the Council has put adequate arrangements in place to satisfy itself that the systems of internal financial control are both adequate and effective in practice.

As part of our audit of the financial statements, we obtained an understanding of internal control sufficient to plan our audit and determine the nature, timing and extent of testing performed. As we have adopted a fully substantive approach, we have therefore not tested the operation of controls.

Although our audit was not designed to express an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control we are required to communicate to you significant deficiencies in internal control.

The matters reported on the next slide are limited to those that we identified during the audit and that we concluded are of sufficient importance to merit being reported to you.



Service Assessment of Control Environment

Area Property V	aluations Rating Moderate - Matters are considered to be of moderate importance to maintenance of internal control. Action should be taken within six months.
Observation	 In the last two financial years we have identified material errors in property valuations. The issues identified have included; the valuer not being able to support assumptions on yield or market rents; the valuer not explicitly stating their assumptions on voids & purchase costs; the nature of the asset not being correctly reflect in the valuation and the valuation not reflecting ongoing rent reviews. In our view, management should put into place the following controls: Personnel at the Council, who have sufficient knowledge of the asset, should review the information provided to the valuer to ensure the information up to date, relevant, and accurate Management should ensure that the valuer explicitly states, and be able to support, all their assumptions in the valuation Personnel at the Council, who have sufficient knowledge of the asset, should review the valuations performed by the valuer.
Impact	Mid Sussex District Council have a significant property portfolio include ~£47m classified as investment property Where the value of the property is materially mistated it could undermine ongoing decision making in relation to the current asset portfolio and any future transaction
Management comment	The recommendation is agreed. The Finance and Estates teams will agree a workflow to prepare and check the valuations performed by the Council's external valuer



😤 Independence

Confirmation and analysis of Audit fees

The FRC Ethical Standard requires that we provide details of all relationships between Ernst & Young (EY) and the Council, and its members and senior management and its affiliates, including all services provided by us and our network to the Council, its members and senior management and its affiliates, and other services provided to other known connected parties that we consider may reasonably be thought to bear on the our integrity or objectivity, including those that could compromise independence and the related safeguards that are in place and why they address the threats.

There are no relationships from 01 April 2020 to the date of this report, which we consider may reasonably be thought to bear on our independence and objectivity.

As at the date of this report, there are no future services which have been contracted and no written proposal to provide non-audit services has been submitted.

As part of our reporting on our independence, we set out below a summary of the fees you have paid us in the year ended 31 March 2021.

	Planned fee 2020/21	Scale fee 2020/21	Final Fee 2019/20
	£	£	£
Total Fee - Code work	38,917	38,917	38,917
Scale fee Rebasing: changes in the work required to address professional and regulatory standards (1)	25,314	25,314	26,360
Revised proposed scale fee	64,231	64,231	
Change in scope (1, 2)	TBC	-	
Total audit fee	TBC	64,231	65,277
Non-audit services (Housing Benefit subsidy certification) (4)	ТВС	N/A	47,672
Total fees	TBC	64,231	112,949

All fees exclude VAT

Note:

(1) As detailed in our 2019/20 annual audit letter we submitted a proposed rebasing of the scale fee of £25,314. We also submitted a scale fee variation due to specific changes in risk in 2019/20 of £19,323. We therefore submitted a total scale fee variation of £44,637 to PSAA. PSAA determined the total scale fee variation for 2019/20 should be £26,360.

(2) As noted in the main section of this report we have identified new risks for 20/21, as well as a change in ISA 540, 570 and the requirements on VfM reporting. We have also received a objection to the 20202/21 accounts. Theses changes have impacted on the cost of delivering the 20/21 audit. We will assess this impact at the completion of the audit.

(4) The work to certify the 2020/21 Housing Benefit claim is currently ongoing. All fees exclude VAT.



Other communications

EY Transparency Report 2020

Ernst & Young (EY) has policies and procedures that instil professional values as part of firm culture and ensure that the highest standards of objectivity, independence and integrity are maintained.

Details of the key policies and processes in place within EY for maintaining objectivity and independence can be found in our annual Transparency Report which the firm is required to publish by law. The most recent version of this Report is for the year end 30 June 2021:

EY UK Transparency Report 2021 | EY UK



Appendices

🖹 Appendix A

Required communications with the Audit Committee

There are certain communications that we must provide to the Audit Committees of UK clients. We have detailed these here together with a reference of when and where they were covered:

		Our Reporting to you
Required communications	What is reported?	🛗 💎 When and where
Terms of engagement	Confirmation by the Audit Committee of acceptance of terms of engagement as written in the engagement letter signed by both parties.	The statement of responsibilities serves as the formal terms of engagement between the PSAA's appointed auditors and audited bodies.
Our responsibilities	Reminder of our responsibilities as set out in the engagement letter.	Audit planning report - July 2021
Planning and audit approach	Communication of the planned scope and timing of the audit, any limitations and the significant risks identified.	Audit planning report - July 2021
Significant findings from the audit	 Our view about the significant qualitative aspects of accounting practices including accounting policies, accounting estimates and financial statement disclosures Significant difficulties, if any, encountered during the audit Significant matters, if any, arising from the audit that were discussed with management Written representations that we are seeking Expected modifications to the audit report Other matters if any, significant to the oversight of the financial reporting process 	Audit planning report - July 2021



		Our Reporting to you
Required communications	What is reported?	📅 💎 When and where
Going concern	 Events or conditions identified that may cast significant doubt on the entity's ability to continue as a going concern, including: Whether the events or conditions constitute a material uncertainty Whether the use of the going concern assumption is appropriate in the preparation and presentation of the financial statements The adequacy of related disclosures in the financial statements 	No conditions or events were identified, either individually or together to raise any doubt about Mid Sussex District Council's ability to continue for the 12 months from the date of our report
Misstatements	 Uncorrected misstatements and their effect on our audit opinion The effect of uncorrected misstatements related to prior periods A request that any uncorrected misstatement be corrected Material misstatements corrected by management 	Audit results report
Subsequent events	 Enquiry of the audit committee where appropriate regarding whether any subsequent events have occurred that might affect the financial statements. 	Audit results report
Fraud	 Enquiries of the Audit Committee to determine whether they have knowledge of any actual, suspected or alleged fraud affecting the Council Any fraud that we have identified or information we have obtained that indicates that a fraud may exist Unless all of those charged with governance are involved in managing the Council, any identified or suspected fraud involving: Management; Employees who have significant roles in internal control; or Others where the fraud results in a material misstatement in the financial statements. The nature, timing and extent of audit procedures necessary to complete the audit when fraud involving management is suspected Any other matters related to fraud, relevant to Audit Committee responsibility. 	Audit results report



		Our Reporting to you
Required communications	What is reported?	🛗 💎 When and where
Related parties	 Significant matters arising during the audit in connection with the Council's related parties including, when applicable: Non-disclosure by management Inappropriate authorisation and approval of transactions Disagreement over disclosures Non-compliance with laws and regulations Difficulty in identifying the party that ultimately controls the Council 	Audit results report
Independence	 Communication of all significant facts and matters that bear on EY's, and all individuals involved in the audit, objectivity and independence. Communication of key elements of the audit engagement partner's consideration of independence and objectivity such as: The principal threats Safeguards adopted and their effectiveness An overall assessment of threats and safeguards Information about the general policies and process within the firm to maintain objectivity and independence Communications whenever significant judgments are made about threats to objectivity and independence 	Audit planning report - April 2021 and Audit results report
External confirmations	 Management's refusal for us to request confirmations Inability to obtain relevant and reliable audit evidence from other procedures. 	We have received all requested confirmations
Consideration of laws and regulations	 Subject to compliance with applicable regulations, matters involving identified or suspected non-compliance with laws and regulations, other than those which are clearly inconsequential and the implications thereof. Instances of suspected non-compliance may also include those that are brought to our attention that are expected to occur imminently or for which there is reason to believe that they may occur Enquiry of the audit committee into possible instances of non-compliance with laws and regulations that may have a material effect on the financial statements and that the audit committee may be aware of 	We have asked management and those charged with governance. We have not identified any material instances or non- compliance with laws and regulations



		Our Reporting to you
Required communications	What is reported?	🛗 💎 When and where
Significant deficiencies in internal controls identified during the audit	 Significant deficiencies in internal controls identified during the audit. 	Audit results report
Consideration of laws and regulations	 Subject to compliance with applicable regulations, matters involving identified or suspected non-compliance with laws and regulations, other than those which are clearly inconsequential and the implications thereof. Instances of suspected non-compliance may also include those that are brought to our attention that are expected to occur imminently or for which there is reason to believe that they may occur Enquiry of the audit committee into possible instances of non-compliance with laws and regulations that may have a material effect on the financial statements and that the audit committee may be aware of 	We have asked management and those charged with governance. We have not identified any material instances or non- compliance with laws and regulations
Significant deficiencies in internal controls identified during the audit	 Significant deficiencies in internal controls identified during the audit. 	Audit results report
Written representations we are requesting from management and/or those charged with governance	 Written representations we are requesting from management and/or those charged with governance 	Audit results report
Material inconsistencies or misstatements of fact identified in other information which management has refused to revise	 Material inconsistencies or misstatements of fact identified in other information which management has refused to revise 	Audit results report



		Our Reporting to you
Required communications	What is reported?	🛗 💡 When and where
Auditors report	 Any circumstances identified that affect the form and content of our auditor's report 	Audit results report
Fee Reporting	 Breakdown of fee information when the audit planning report is agreed Breakdown of fee information at the completion of the audit Any non-audit work 	Audit planning report and Audit results report

Appendix B

Management representation letter

Management Rep Letter

Ernst & Young LLP Grosvenor House, Grosvenor Square, Southampton SO15 2BE, United Kingdom

This letter of representations is provided in connection with your audit of the financial statements of Mid Sussex District Council ("the Council") for the year ended 31 March 2021. We recognise that obtaining representations from us concerning the information contained in this letter is a significant procedure in enabling you to form an opinion as to whether the financial statements give a true and fair view of the Council financial position of Mid Sussex District Council as of 31 March 2021 and of its income and expenditure for the year then ended in accordance with CIPFA LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2020/21

We understand that the purpose of your audit of our financial statements is to express an opinion thereon and that your audit was conducted in accordance with International Standards on Auditing (UK), which involves an examination of the accounting system, internal control and related data to the extent you considered necessary in the circumstances, and is not designed to identify nor necessarily be expected to disclose - all fraud, shortages, errors and other irregularities, should any exist.

Accordingly, we make the following representations, which are true to the best of our knowledge and belief, having made such inquiries as we considered necessary for the purpose of appropriately informing ourselves:

A. Financial Statements and Financial Records

- We have fulfilled our responsibilities, under the relevant statutory authorities, for the preparation of the financial statements in accordance with the Accounts and Audit Regulations 2015 and CIPFA LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2020/21.
- 2. We acknowledge, as members of management of the Council, our responsibility for the fair presentation of the financial statements.

We believe the financial statements referred to above give a true and fair view of the financial position, financial performance (or results of operations) and cash flows of the Council in accordance with the CIPFA LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2020/21, and are free of material misstatements, including omissions. We have approved the financial statements.

- 2. The significant accounting policies adopted in the preparation of the financial statements are appropriately described in the financial statements.
- 3. As members of management of the Council, we believe that the Council has a system of internal controls adequate to enable the preparation of accurate financial statements in accordance with the CIPFA LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2020/21, that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error. We have disclosed to you any significant changes in our processes, controls, policies and procedures that we have made to address the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on our system of internal controls.
- 4. We believe that the effects of any unadjusted audit differences, summarised in the accompanying schedule, accumulated by you during the current audit and pertaining to the latest period presented are immaterial, both individually and in the aggregate, to the financial statements taken as a whole.
- B. Non-compliance with law and regulations, including fraud
- We acknowledge that we are responsible to determine that the Council's activities are conducted in accordance with laws and regulations and that we are responsible to identify and address any non-compliance with applicable laws and regulations, including fraud.
- 2. We acknowledge that we are responsible for the design, implementation and maintenance of internal controls to prevent and detect fraud.
- 3. We have disclosed to you the results of our assessment of the risk that the financial statements may be materially misstated as a result of fraud.

🖹 Appendix B

Management representation letter

Management Rep Letter

4. We have no knowledge of any identified or suspected non-compliance with laws or regulations, including fraud that may have affected the Council (regardless of the source or form and including without limitation, any allegations by "whistleblowers"), including non-compliance matters:

C. Information Provided and Completeness of Information and Transactions

- 1. We have provided you with:
- Access to all information of which we are aware that is relevant to the preparation of the financial statements such as records, documentation and other matters;
- Additional information that you have requested from us for the purpose of the audit; and
- Unrestricted access to persons within the entity from whom you determined it necessary to obtain audit evidence.
- 2. All material transactions have been recorded in the accounting records and all material transactions, events and conditions are reflected in the financial statements, including those related to the COVID-19 pandemic.
- 3. We have made available to you all minutes of the meetings of the Council, Cabinet and Audit Committee held through the year to the most recent meeting on the following date: xx xxx xxx.
- 4. We confirm the completeness of information provided regarding the identification of related parties. We have disclosed to you the identity of the Council's related parties and all related party relationships and transactions of which we are aware, including sales, purchases, loans, transfers of assets, liabilities and services, leasing arrangements, guarantees, non-monetary transactions and transactions for no consideration for the period ended, as well as related balances due to or

from such parties at the period end. These transactions have been appropriately accounted for and disclosed in the financial statements.

- 5. We believe that the methods, significant assumptions and the data we used in making accounting estimates and related disclosures are appropriate and consistently applied to achieve recognition, measurement and disclosure that is in accordance with CIPFA LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2020/21.
- 6. We have disclosed to you, and the Council has complied with, all aspects of contractual agreements that could have a material effect on the financial statements in the event of non-compliance, including all covenants, conditions or other requirements of all outstanding debt.
- 7. From the date of our last management representation letter through the date of this letter we have disclosed to you any unauthorized access to our information technology systems that either occurred or to the best of our knowledge is reasonably likely to have occurred based on our investigation, including of reports submitted to us by third parties (including regulatory agencies, law enforcement agencies and security consultants), to the extent that such unauthorized access to our information technology systems is reasonably likely to have a material impact to the financial statements, in each case or in the aggregate.

D. Liabilities and Contingencies

- 1. All liabilities and contingencies, including those associated with guarantees, whether written or oral, have been disclosed to you and are appropriately reflected in the financial statements.
- 2. We have informed you of all outstanding and possible litigation and claims, whether or not they have been discussed with legal counsel.
- We have recorded and/or disclosed, as appropriate, all liabilities related litigation and claims, both actual and contingent, and have disclosed in Note 31 to the financial statements all guarantees that we have given to third parties

🖹 Appendix B

Management representation letter

Management Rep Letter

E. Going Concern

1. Note 1 to the financial statements discloses all the matters of which we are aware that are relevant to the Council's ability to continue as a going concern, including significant conditions and events, our plans for future action, and the feasibility of those plans

F. Subsequent Events

1. There have been no events, including events related to the COVID-19 pandemic, subsequent to period end which require adjustment of or disclosure in the financial statements or notes thereto.

G. Other information

- 1. We acknowledge our responsibility for the preparation of the other information. The other information comprises the Narrative Report.
- 2. We confirm that the content contained within the other information is consistent with the financial statements.

H. other information

- 1. We acknowledge our responsibility for the preparation of the other information. The other information comprises of the Narrative Statement
- 2. We confirm that the content contained within the other information is consistent with the financial statements.

I. Use of the Work of a Specialist

1. We agree with the findings of the specialists that we engaged to evaluate the valuation of land and buildings and investment property, in the calculation of the NDR appeals provision, in generating the IAS19 pension and have adequately considered the qualifications of the specialists in determining the amounts and disclosures included in the financial statements and the underlying accounting records. We did not give or cause any instructions to be given to the specialists with respect to the values or amounts derived in an attempt to bias their work, and we are not otherwise aware of any matters that have had an effect on the independence or objectivity of the specialists.

J. Estimates

- We confirm that the significant judgments made in making the valuation of land and buildings and investment property, in the calculation of the NDR appeals provision, and in generating the IAS19 pension ("the accounting estimates") have taken into account all relevant information and the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic of which we are aware.
- 2. We believe that the selection or application of the methods, assumptions and data used by us have been consistently and appropriately applied or used in making the accounting estimates.
- 3. We confirm that the significant assumptions used in making the accounting estimate appropriately reflect our intent and ability to carry out the specific courses of action on behalf of the entity.
- 4. We confirm that the disclosures made in the financial statements with respect to the accounting estimate(s), including those describing estimation uncertainty and the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic, are complete and are reasonable in the context of CIPFA LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2020/21
- 5. We confirm that appropriate specialized skills or expertise has been applied in making the accounting estimates.
- 6. We confirm that no adjustments are required to the accounting estimates and disclosures in the financial statements, including due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

K. Retirement benefits

 On the basis of the process established by us and having made appropriate enquiries, we are satisfied that the actuarial assumptions underlying the scheme liabilities are consistent with our knowledge of the business. All significant retirement benefits and all settlements and curtailments have been identified and properly accounted for.

EY | Assurance | Tax | Transactions | Advisory

About EY

EY is a global leader in assurance, tax, transaction and advisory services. The insights and quality services we deliver help build trust and confidence in the capital markets and in economies the world over. We develop outstanding leaders who team to deliver on our promises to all of our stakeholders. In so doing, we play a critical role in building a better working world for our people, for our clients and for our communities.

EY refers to the global organization, and may refer to one or more, of the member firms of Ernst & Young Global Limited, each of which is a separate legal entity. Ernst & Young Global Limited, a UK company limited by guarantee, does not provide services to clients. For more information about our organization, please visit ey.com.

© 2017 EYGM Limited. All Rights Reserved.

ED None

This material has been prepared for general informational purposes only and is not intended to be relied upon as accounting, tax, or other professional advice. Please refer to your advisors for specific advice.

ey.com